



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: 700 LEAVITT PLACE, STOP P, 3553
Washington, D.C. 20591
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/842,731	04/27/2001	Katsuhiko Torii	02-047	7460
23400	7590	10/24/2002		
LAW OFFICES OF DAVID G. POSZ 2000 L STREET, N.W. SUITE 200 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			EXAMINER CUEVAS, PEDRO J	
			ART UNIT 2834	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 10/24/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary**Application No.**

09/842,731

Applicant(s)

TORII ET AL..

Examiner

Pedro J. Cuevas

Art Unit

2834

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If the period for reply specified above is more than thirty (30) days, the resulting statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 August 2002.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) 11 and 12 is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on 27 April 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) _____.
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: Direct Current Motor Yoke Housing Having Groove-Like Reduced Thickness Portions.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1, 3-4, 8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,547,687 to Arai.

Arai clearly teaches the construction of a micromotor with built-in cooling medium pipes comprising a yoke housing (2) and an armature (6) rotatably received within said yoke housing, said yoke housing comprising:

a plurality of primary magnetic poles (1a, 1b), including permanent magnets, secured to an inner peripheral surface of said yoke housing for providing magnetic fields to said armature; and

a plurality of groove-like reduced thickness portions (3a, 3b) for increasing a magnetic resistance, said plurality of groove-like reduced thickness portions being integrally formed in said yoke housing, wherein:

a number of said plurality of groove-like reduced thickness portions is equal to a number of said plurality of primary magnetic poles; and each said groove-like reduced thickness portion extends along a center line of a corresponding one of said plurality of primary magnetic poles (Figure 4), extending linearly in an axial direction of said yoke housing and covering an entire axial length of said corresponding one of said plurality of primary magnetic poles.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,547,687 to Arai in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,933,582 to Hata et al.

Arai disclose the construction of a micromotor with built-in cooling medium pipes as described above.

However, it fails to disclose the construction of a yoke housing is:

formed as a generally oblate cylinder that includes opposing generally parallel flat sections and opposing arcuate sections, each said arcuate section has one of said plurality

of primary magnetic poles secured to an inner peripheral surface of each said arcuate section,

configured such that a wall thickness of each said flat section is larger than a wall thickness of each said arcuate section, and gradually increases from a circumferential center of each said arcuate section toward each one of opposing circumferential ends of each said arcuate section.

Hata et al. teach the construction of a magnet-retaining structure for a motor:

formed as a generally oblate cylinder (2) that includes opposing generally parallel flat sections and opposing arcuate sections, each said arcuate section has one of said plurality of primary magnetic poles (4) secured to an inner peripheral surface of each said arcuate section; and

configured such that a wall thickness of each said flat section is larger than a wall thickness of each said arcuate section, and gradually increases from a circumferential center of each said arcuate section toward each one of opposing circumferential ends of each said arcuate section for the purpose of permitting the simplification of the manufacture of component parts of the motor, and preventing the resilient retainer from dislocation and the magnets from displacement in the axial direction.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use the magnet-retaining structure disclosed by Hata et al. on the micromotor with built-in cooling medium pipes disclosed by Arai for the purpose of permitting the simplification of the

manufacture of component parts of the motor, and preventing the resilient retainer from dislocation and the magnets from displacement in the axial direction.

7. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,547,687 to Arai in view of common knowledge in the art.

The method of forming the device is not germane to the issue of patentability of the device itself. Therefore, this limitation has not been given patentable weight.

8. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,547,687 to Arai in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,933,582 to Hata et al. as applied to claims 5-7 above, and further in view of common knowledge in the art.

Arai in view of Hata et al. discloses the claimed invention except for a wall thickness of each said groove-like reduced thickness portion is equal to or less than 40% of a wall thickness of each said flat section.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to set the wall thickness of each said groove-like reduced thickness portion to be equal to or less than 40% of a wall thickness of each said flat section, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro J. Cuevas whose telephone number is (703) 308-4904. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30 - 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor R. Ramirez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-1341 for regular communications and (703) 305-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Pedro J. Cuevas
October 15, 2002


NESTOR RAMIREZ
SPECIALIST - PCT/INTL EXAMINER
TELEPHONE (703) 308-2800